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Introduction

A glance inside any data center might reveal thousands of HDDs working side by side in glittering arrays, blinking as they smoothly transfer millions and millions of bits and bytes.

Compact, and easy to install and maintain, large scalable storage is the key building block for large-enterprise and scientific data centers as well as for cloud-scale computing. 4U 24x 3.5 in. frontloader “just a bunch of disks” (JBOD) enclosures are widely used for this, because they – unlike the alternative 4U toploader – will fit into any rack and allow easy access to the drives.

Here’s where our lab report starts:

AIC has recently launched the fourth generation of its 4U 24-bay frontloader JBOD. It features a robust and reliable design, with no cables on the signal path. With a depth of just 38.55 cm, it’s the most compact model in its class, leaving ample room for cabling and other installations in any rack. The dual I/O modules (IOMs) based on Broadcom’s SAS35X-series expanders support another reduction in power consumption for the backplanes and connectivity.

Toshiba had the chance to evaluate a sample J4024-04 in the European HDD lab in Düsseldorf, Germany.

Picture 1: JBOD in the Toshiba lab
Dimensions & mechanical features

The J4024-04 JBOD has the standard 19 in. form factor dimensions in terms of width (438 mm) and height (4U = 174.4 mm). The depth was reduced from its predecessor, from 534 mm to just 385.5 mm, making it the most compact model in its class.

The J4024 has four fans and up to two I/O modules, plus two power supply modules, all hot-swappable and serviceable from the back. The I/O modules come with 4x 12 GB/s mini-SAS HD ports, and each of them can be controlled via in-band SES commands, but also via a dedicated management LAN port or serial connection.

The front panel carries an on/off switch and some signal lights.

The 24 robust metal-frame hot-swap HDD trays can be installed conveniently without tools, as a 3.5 in. HDD can be snapped into place without any need for screws. However, three screw holes are available in each tray, and we recommend fixing the drives with at least one screw to keep them from vibrating. The trays can also be used for 2.5 in. drives or SSDs, but in this case four screws are mandatory.

Setup in the Toshiba lab

- **Model:** AIC J4024-04-35X
- **Firmware:** 0c3b
- **Host OS:** Linux (Centos 7.9)
- **Host OS:** Windows (Windows Server 2019 Standard)
- **Host bus adapter (HBA):** Broadcom Avago HBA 9500-16e (Host IF: 8x PCIe-Gen4)
- **RAID controller:** Microchip Adaptec® SmartRAID Ultra 3254-16e/e (16x PCIe-Gen4)
Tests with enterprise capacity ("nearline")
SAS drives

Model name: Toshiba MG09SCA18TE
Block size: 512 byte emulated
Firmware: 0104

Data-rate outer diameter: 282 MB/s

Power consumption
- Idle_B: 3.36 W
- Sequential write: 7.62 W
- Sequential read: 8.71 W
- Random write: 6.64 W
- Random read: 9.47 W

Basic JBOD functions

Basic function: OK
SAS IOM detected: OK
Hot-plug/re-insert: OK
Smart reading: OK
Enclosure management: OK, tested SES in-band setting

For precise measurement of the power consumption, we used a high-accuracy professional power analyser (R&S HMC8015).

JBOD on, no drives, SAS link to host on: ......................... 64 W
JBOD with drives, maximum startup power over 500 ms: .. 325 W
JBOD with raw drives at HBA: ....................................... 280 W
Lambda (ratio of active and reactive power): ............... 0.96
Noise at 1 m distance: .................................................. 84 dB

Picture 3: Power measurement setup in the Toshiba HDD lab.
64 W for the JBOD without drives is an amazingly low value, and the maximum startup power and the idle JBOD with around 300 W are also excellent. A high lambda factor of 0.96 (this JBOD does not create significant reactive power) is typical of today’s state-of-the-art power supply units, but is still worth mentioning here.

A noise level of 84 dB is rather high, but noise is not a factor in the data center environment. As AIC is generally known for good airflow and good cooling (which results in higher noise levels), we were curious to know how the HDD temperature figures would develop under heavy loads.

**Performance measurements in the Toshiba lab**

We connected the JBOD with 2x mini-SAS HD cables to the HBA or RAID controller. For different HDD configurations we measured sequential and random performance and power consumption under the different load scenarios, and looked at lowest and highest internal HDD temperatures.

The four different configurations were:

1. All drives connected with HBA, exercised in parallel. This scenario was relevant for software-defined storage systems.

2. All drives connected to a RAID controller, configured as RAID0 = all drives striped in parallel as one large physical device. This was to evaluate the highest performance, as the management of the block device was done by the hardware RAID controller. But without any redundancy it had less practical relevance.

3. All drives connected to a RAID controller, configured as RAID10 = striped mirrors. This was a practical configuration, and it turned out to be the fastest RAID configuration with proper redundancy.

4. Same configuration as 3, but formatted as Windows logical volume.

**1. All drives parallel as single physical devices (multipath):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workload</th>
<th>Power (W)</th>
<th>IOPS</th>
<th>Bandwidth (MB/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sequential write 1024K</td>
<td>280</td>
<td></td>
<td>4540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequential read 1024K</td>
<td>290</td>
<td></td>
<td>4610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random write 4K</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>12100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random read 4K</td>
<td>15600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed 4K/64K/256K/2M</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>9810</td>
<td>1070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature ambient</td>
<td>26°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature HDD min.</td>
<td>28°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature HDD max.</td>
<td>30°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Almost 5 GB/s in bandwidth and more than 10K IOPS showed the agility of the SAS Enterprise Capacity HDD that was used. Power consumption stayed consistently below 300 W.

---

**Script (all drives parallel as single physical devices):**

```bash
fio --direct=1 --bs=1m --iodepth=16 --size=32g --ioengine=libaio --group_reporting --rw=write --output=seqwrite.log -- name=/dev/dm-{2..25}
fio --direct=1 --bs=1m --iodepth=16 --size=32g --ioengine=libaio --group_reporting --rw=read --output=seqread.log -- name=/dev/dm-{2..25}
fio --direct=1 --bs=4k --iodepth=16 --size=512m --ioengine=libaio --group_reporting --rw=randwrite --output=randwrite.log -- name=/dev/dm-{2..25}
fio --direct=1 --bs=4k --iodepth=16 --size=512m --ioengine=libaio --group_reporting --rw=randread --output=randread.log -- name=/dev/dm-{2..25}
fio --direct=1 --bssplit=4k/20:64k/50:256k/20:2M/10 --iodepth=16 --size=8g --ioengine=libaio --group_reporting --rw=randrw --output=mixed.log -- name=/dev/dm-{2..25}
```
temperature values confirmed what we were expecting from the AIC's remarkable cooling abilities: a temperature spread of just 2°C between the coldest and the hottest drive, and just +4°C maximum above ambient temperature are amazing results.

2. All drives as RAID0, Windows physical drive:
OS: Windows Server 2019
HBA/controller: Microchip Adaptec® SmartRAID Ultra 3254-16e/e (16xPCIe4)
HDD: 24x Toshiba MG09SCA18TE
Configuration: Dual IOM 2x mini-SAS HD cables (3 m long)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workload</th>
<th>Power (W)</th>
<th>IOPS</th>
<th>Bandwidth (MB/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sequential write 64K</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>5010</td>
<td>3020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequential read 64K</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>5630</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random write 4K</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>12800</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random read 4K</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>4530</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed 4K/64K/256K/2M</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>2520</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle (raid background)</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td>1860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature ambient</td>
<td>26°C</td>
<td></td>
<td>2950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature HDD min.</td>
<td>28°C</td>
<td></td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature HDD max.</td>
<td>30°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bandwidth was even higher than the first configuration, as the aggregation of the single HDD performance was done by the hardware RAID controller.

3. All drives as RAID10, Windows physical drive:
OS: Windows Server 2019
HBA/controller: Microchip Adaptec® SmartRAID Ultra 3254-16e/e (16xPCIe4)
HDD: 24x Toshiba MG09SCA18TE
Configuration: Dual IOM 2x mini-SAS HD cables (3 m long)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workload</th>
<th>Power (W)</th>
<th>IOPS</th>
<th>Bandwidth (MB/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sequential write 64K</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>5010</td>
<td>3020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequential read 64K</td>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
<td>2950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random write 4K</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random read 4K</td>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td>3940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed 4K/64K/256K/2M</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle (raid background)</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td>1860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature ambient</td>
<td>26°C</td>
<td></td>
<td>2950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature HDD min.</td>
<td>28°C</td>
<td></td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature HDD max.</td>
<td>30°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here we saw a drop in performance due to the RAID10 mirroring not 24 drives, but just 12 of them were working in parallel. Still the power consumption stayed less than 300 W except for the heavy-workload worst-case scenario of random reading over full capacity of 200 TB. (For random reading, the moving of the HDD’s actuator arm to find a small random piece of data consumes a lot of power.)

Script (all drives as RAID0, Windows physical drive):

```bash
fio --filename=\\Physicaldrive1 --direct=1 --rw=write --bs=64k --iodepth=16 --time_based --runtime=300 --group_reporting --name=job1 --ioengine=windowsaio --thread --numjobs=1 --norandommap --randrepeat=0 --output=seqwritephysical.log

fio --filename=\\Physicaldrive1 --direct=1 --rw=read --bs=64k --iodepth=16 --time_based --runtime=300 --group_reporting --name=job1 --ioengine=windowsaio --thread --numjobs=1 --norandommap --randrepeat=0 --output=seqreadphysical.log

fio --filename=\\Physicaldrive1 --direct=1 --rw=randwrite --bs=4k --iodepth=16 --time_based --runtime=300 --group_reporting --name=job1 --ioengine=windowsaio --thread --numjobs=64 --norandommap --randrepeat=0 --output=randwritephysical.log

fio --filename=\\Physicaldrive1 --direct=1 --rw=randread --bs=4k --iodepth=16 --time_based --runtime=300 --group_reporting --name=job1 --ioengine=windowsaio --thread --numjobs=64 --norandommap --randrepeat=0 --output=randreadphysical.log

fio --filename=\\Physicaldrive1 --direct=1 --rw=randrw --bssplit=4k/20:64k/50:256k/20:2M/10 --iodepth=16 --time_based --runtime=300 --group_reporting --name=job1 --ioengine=windowsaio --thread --numjobs=64 --norandommap --randrepeat=0 --output=mixedphysical.log
```
4. All drives as RAID10, Windows logic volume:

**OS:** Windows Server 2019

**HBA/controller:** Microchip Adaptec® SmartRAID Ultra 3254-16e/e (16xPCIe4)

**HDD:** 24x Toshiba MG09SCA18TE

**Configuration:** Dual IOM 2x mini-SAS HD cables (3 m long)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workload</th>
<th>Power (W)</th>
<th>IOPS</th>
<th>Bandwidth (MB/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sequential write 64K</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequential read 64K</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>2980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random write 4K</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>5230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random read 4K</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>13400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed 4K/64K/256K/2M</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows copy</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle (raid background)</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature ambient</td>
<td>25°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature HDD min.</td>
<td>26°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature HDD max.</td>
<td>28°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Script (all drives as RAID10, Windows logic volume):**

```bash
fio --filename=test --size=1T --direct=1 --rw=write --bs=64k --iodepth=16 --time_based --runtime=300 --group_reporting --name=job1 --ioengine=windowsaio --thread --numjobs=1 --norandommap --randrepeat=0 --output=seqwritelogical.log

fio --filename=test --size=1T --direct=1 --rw=read --bs=64k --iodepth=16 --time_based --runtime=300 --group_reporting --name=job1 --ioengine=windowsaio --thread --numjobs=1 --norandommap --randrepeat=0 --output=seqreadlogical.log

fio --filename=test --size=1T --direct=1 --rw=randwrite --bs=4k --iodepth=16 --time_based --runtime=300 --group_reporting --name=job1 --ioengine=windowsaio --thread --numjobs=64 --norandommap --randrepeat=0 --output=randwritelogical.log

fio --filename=test --size=1T --direct=1 --rw=randread --bs=4k --iodepth=16 --time_based --runtime=300 --group_reporting --name=job1 --ioengine=windowsaio --thread --numjobs=64 --norandommap --randrepeat=0 --output=randreadlogical.log

fio --filename=test --size=1T --direct=1 --rw=randrw --bs=4k/20:64k/50:256k/20:2M/10 --iodepth=16 --time_based --runtime=300 --group_reporting --name=job1 --ioengine=windowsaio --thread --numjobs=64 --norandommap --randrepeat=0 --output=mixedloglogical.log
```
This practical use-case performance matched the previous results. Only the random read performance was higher, as the random seek was not done over the complete 200 TB of total disk capacity, but on a smaller test area of 1 TB (a more realistic scenario).

Summary
The test results for the AIC JBOD J4024-04 in the Toshiba lab were very convincing. The SAS Expander and IOM configuration enabled the full performance potential of the installed HDDs in the system. More than 5 GB/s of throughput and more than 10K IOPS with Toshiba’s 18 TB Enterprise SAS HDDs was achieved. At the same time, the power consumption stayed below 300 W and the HDD temperature below +4°C over ambient temperature. It is especially this result, with the well-established cooling technology of AIC, that helps minimize HDD failure rates. This would result in longer system lifetime and would contribute to external cooling power savings by allowing operation with higher ambient temperature in the context of the data center.

With a depth of less than 40 cm, this JBOD is by far the shortest 4U enclosure. Even in short legacy racks it leaves plenty of space for cabling or even installation of auxiliary components such as switches in the rear part of the rack.
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